



**Unless something has changed and more information brought forth, it would seem the DSP claims for the 1976 event are far from written in stone, as two researchers had indicated the following:**

ooo

**Brad Sparks** (one of the early founders of CAUS, "Citizens Against UFO Secrecy") had some comments concerning the DSP information offered at UFOWorld which tend to negate the claims made by its original authors. I've included portions of two of Brad's letters below with comments of my own inserted along the way. ([letter1](#), [letter2](#)) I've also broken it into pieces so we can more easily see each of his points. Anyone else who would care to comment on same is welcome to email me at [cohenufo@optonline.net](mailto:cohenufo@optonline.net).

If your comments add important additional information to the proceedings, they will be posted on this page after I get your permission.

**Jan Aldrich** (Project 1947) also had some things to say about the DSP claims and [a portion of one of his letters](#) is reproduced below as well.

-----  
**From: Brad Sparks**  
**Date: Thu, 7 Jan 1999 20:45:37 EST**  
**To: rjcohen@li.net**  
**Subject: Fwd: Permission to use sentiments expressed**

....snip....

••BTW, I notice on your Iranian jet case you mention the alleged DSP-1 satellite confirmation. But in fact there is not sufficient information to say that the DSP actually detected the UFO/UFO's, possibly it detected the F-4's instead, or maybe it was an oil burn off which is prevalent in the Middle East. The printout catalog of DSP anomalies you depict with an out-of-focus graphic image actually gives only the DAY not the exact TIME and in unclassified presentation there is NO LOCATION apparent.

*JC: Brad didn't understand that the information wasn't mine but rather, was a link to another site which I offered in an attempt to solicit comments from anyone reading the page. I do not possess the technical*

qualifications to make a determination on this information but was hoping someone with these qualifications would read it and care enough to comment. Brad was the first to have done so in this much detail.

...

••There is no detail giving data on whether the IR source was even MOVING -- other notations on other dates of "SW" (Slow Walker) and "FW" (Fast Walker) indicate moving sources

••but this one is an "SR" which we do not have a definition or meaning for (I've seen it suggested to mean "Strategic Reconnaissance" but it might be a Soviet Rocket or Solar Reflection, or something else entirely). For all we know the IR source was on the other side of the world, in Australia, over the South Pacific, or wherever. Your comments further claim mistakenly:

JC: Again, not "my comments" as I explained above

...

••"Computer printout of the anomalous event with the classified term "238 SCANS - POSSIBLE SR". The term "238 SCANS" indicates that the event lasted more than an hour."

••In fact, the old DSP satellites scanned the earth once every 10 seconds. So 238 scans equals 2,380 seconds or about 39.7 minutes NOT "more than an hour."

••BTW, the poorly investigated Iranian jet case has never had a detailed chronology produced which tells HOW LONG the F-4's chased or tracked the UFO's. So we have no way of knowing if this 40-minute DSP tracking meshes with the F-4 case, or is too long or too short, in terms of duration. ....snip....

••Finally, there is the problem of why only ONE DSP satellite seems to have detected this IR source. By 1976 there were several DSP satellites covering the Eurasian mainland for the obvious reasons of watching for Soviet and Chinese missile launches. More than one DSP should have detected this IR source if it was a real source, thus enabling accurate triangulation of location and altitude with time.

Sincerely,

Brad Sparks

-----

**From: Brad Sparks**  
**Date: Fri, 8 Jan 1999 23:21:31 EST**  
**To: rjcohen@li.net**  
**Subject: Re: Permission to use sentiments expressed**

••....snip.... DSP satellite tracking data that would corroborate UFO incidents. I wish the 19Sep76 detection was clearly such an instance but we don't know enough about the tracking. Even the date is a problem because the DSP data lists it as 18th not 19th. While the Iranian incident, whose local Iranian time date was the 19th for the F-4 scrambles, did START on the 18th using GMT or UT or Z time it may not have ended on the 18th, so there's some uncertainty there too.

-----

**Date: Thu, 14 May 1998 08:16:27 -0700**  
**From: jan@cyberzone.net (Jan Aldrich)**  
**To: Jerry Cohen <rjcohen@li.net>**

**Subject: Re: 1957 Cont.**

Hi Jerry

....snip....

•As far as the new DSP claims, I have discussed this with Greenwood. You must consider the source. Lee Graham. This man has the ability to say black is white. An outright denial of something, in his mind becomes, confirmation.

•How do we not that the DSP signature is in fact the UFO and not a gas flare on any oil field.

Here is something that is possible for independent investigators to look at and see if the results match the claim. Show me proof, and I'll look at it.

....snip....

-----  
**7/3/2006 Addendum: 🟡🟡🟡**

**Another DSP Event in 1984,  
Likewise Inconclusive**

**Some quotes from 12 year old article:**

**Cosmic Conspiracy: Part Five, The 80s  
Six Decades of Government UFO Cover-Ups**

**by Dennis Stacy and Patrick Huyghe**

**SOURCE : OMNI Magazine August 1994**

"Last year, Joe Stefula, formerly a special agent with the army's Criminal Investigation Command, made public on several electronic bulletin boards what purports to be a diagram of an infrared event detected by a DSP satellite on May 5, 1984. 'I haven't been able to determine that the document's absolutely authentic,' says Stefula, ' "

" 'But what makes this particular Fast Walker so peculiar,' says Stefula, "is that it comes in from outer space on a curved trajectory, passes within three kilometers of the satellite platform, and then disappears back into space. Whatever it is, it was tracked for nine minutes. ' "

" 'That doesn't sound like a meteorite to me.' Regehr agrees: ' It was there too long. It was going too slow. It didn't have enough speed for escape velocity. 'But escape it did.

**< End excerpts >**

-----  
**jc: Immediately below . . summation of general information from the article . . .**

1) We have a diagram on bulletin boards that claims to be a diagram of an infrared event detected by a DSP satellite on May 5, 1984.

2) The date does not match the 1976 Iranian AF incident

3) We don't know if it's authentic

4) It came in from outer space in a curved trajectory, passes within three kilometers of the satellite platform, and then disappears back into space.

5) It *was claimed* to be tracked for nine minutes

6) Doesn't sound like a meteor. It was going too slow, there too long, and didn't have enough speed for escape velocity *but still got back into outer space.*

-----

**jc question: Does the Phil Klass explanation for it fit the specifics and actually solve what was *claimed*?**

Klass's best guess is that the mysterious May, 1984 Fast Walker event claimed by Stefula probably represents nothing more than a classified mission flown by our own SR-71 high-altitude Blackbird spyplane. "It's admittedly too long a duration to be a meteor fireball," he concedes, "but the Blackbird typically flies at an altitude of 80,000 to 100,000 feet, and its after burner trail makes it easily visible to the DSP system.

-----

**jc question:** *Could it actually have been the blackbird? Is the abovementioned altitude considered outer space? Does the blackbird ever fly into outer space as indicated? Does any data we have demonstrate that whatever was seen flying back into outer space, and would this normally be possible with its particular angle of entry? If it does and this is not usually possible for its angle, the Klass explanation would then leave much to be desired. But again, as in the previous case, we still need more solid information to come to a meaningful conclusion.*

-----

**More quotes from the Omni article:**

The May, 1984 event allegedly generated a 300-page internal report, only portions of which are classified, though none of it has yet been released. "I don't think they would do a 300-page report on everything they detect," says Stefula, whose efforts to obtain the report have so far been unsuccessful, "so there must have been something significant about this that led them to look into it. My source told me that they basically looked at every possibility and couldn't explain it by natural or man-made means." Nor was this apparently an isolated event. According to the unnamed source, such Fast Walkers are detected, on the average, "two to three times a month."

**jc:** *The above is an interesting piece of information, but we have to have **more** information about any one of them (the fast walkers) before we can develop an intelligent explanation as to what **any of them** might have been. Does "allegedly generated" mean, there may actually be **\_no\_** report?*

**Bottom line is that the AF will remain secretive on this one way or the other because we can't afford to let out information concerning the detection system. Also, we have to be very sure any object detected isn't some country's spy plane.**

*The article also mentions that with all the new secret sensor devices we have in orbit, we already know whether we are being visited by UFOs or not. However, they can't tell us one way or the other without being*

*prodded to reveal exactly how they know this as it would probably compromise our country's security in the process .*

-----

**Page from the website of: [CohenUFO.org](http://CohenUFO.org)**